



King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Office of the Ombudsman at Canada Post - File Number 00020909)

3 messages

ombudsmanEmailToCaseRouting <ombudsman@canadapost.ca>
To: "gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com" <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>

Thu, Sep 12, 2024 at 2:17 PM

Dear Customer:

The attached final decision is in response to the appeal that you filed with the Office of the Ombudsman at Canada Post.

In our continued efforts to provide quality services to our clients, we would appreciate receiving your comments on your recent experience with our office and ask that you kindly take a few moments to complete our short survey by clicking on the following link <https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/YNT66MD>. We make every effort to protect our clients' personal information. As such, our survey does not collect any of your personal information, if you respond.

Thank you in advance for helping us to improve the service we provide to our clients.

Office of the Ombudsman at Canada Post
PO BOX 105
GATINEAU QC J9H 5E4

Fax: 1-800-204-4193

ref:!00D2B08aKe.!500OL0Fulpi:ref

 **20909 - Final Decision.pdf**
358K

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: ombudsmanEmailToCaseRouting <ombudsman@canadapost.ca>
Bcc: Jamal Fuad <jimfuad@gmail.com>

Thu, Sep 12, 2024 at 3:38 PM

This 'decision' is completely unacceptable. To whom do I appeal this decision? Is this a Matter for the Divisional Court? Requiring One to have 'government issued id' to use an international postal service is a constitutional violation - no One may be discriminated against for 'citizenship or nationality', and requiring government issued 'id' is requiring One to identify as a citizen, effectively denying the individual of their right of self determination.

Furthermore, the SIGNATURE WAS OBTAINED IN FRAUD!!! The Signature provided by 'D. Cote' WAS NOT Signed on June 14th as You claim in Your investigation, but on MAY 23rd BEFORE the package was received by the depot for sorting. The PURPOSE of the fraud was to claim the City of Ottawa had delivered a package they had no intent of Me ever receiving. You also conceded that the slip was not filled out correctly, and You have 'discussed the issue with staff'?! There was no name on the delivery slip, but You are as King of Me to produce 'government issued id' that shows I am 'King Sean, House von Dehn'?!

You are also making **defamatory** accusations based exclusively on hearsay. I was as King why the Canada Post agent was engaged in FRAUD, claiming to have delivered a package to Me with My signature on file **on May 28th - which was OBTAINED on May 23rd, EVIDENCED by Your own receipt!!!**

Canada Post's scanning details regarding Registered Mail™ item #RN 783 555 835 CA show that the item was first processed on May 22 at the Ottawa Mail Processing plant and then later scanned as inducted into the mail stream on May 23. It then went out for delivery the next day and a DNC was left that day at 10:56 AM. Scanning details show that the item was 'redirected' on May 28, processed on June 13 and delivered/signed on June 14 by 'D Cote'.

That is patently false by Way of Your own receipt!!! The receipt shows that D. Cote' Signature was obtained on the 23rd of May, and that You re-used the same Signature to show it was returned on the 14th. Ironically, that is EXACTLY the

same lie the City of Ottawa told Me and there is no Way You can be conducting an impartial investigation if You are siding with the fraudsters in violation of Your own impartial records.

I need to know where to appeal this decision unless You can tell Me why Your receipt shows the package was Signed by D. Cote on May 23rd? Why was the package signed as having already been delivered before it was sent or processed at the depot?

Not surprised there is no name associated with the decision. I need to know who made this decision for the purpose of civil and criminal liability. For Your defamation of My Character based exclusively on hearsay allegations, I am as King for no less than \$1,000,000.00 from the Canada Post Ombudsman society.

There was nothing 'impartial' about this investigation at all, You are colluding with the City of Ottawa.

Thank You, I look forward to hearing from You,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean

[Quoted text hidden]

King Sean, House von Dehn <gnosticwisdom37@gmail.com>
To: ombudsmanEmailToCaseRouting <ombudsman@canadapost.ca>

Thu, Sep 12, 2024 at 5:18 PM

Dear 'Ominous' Ombudsman,

I would like to know who conducted this investigation, please. Virtually every Word of the so-called 'investigation' is based exclusively on hearsay, entirely contrary to the FACTS regarding Your own receipt.

1. Your 'investigation' claims that '**D. Cote**' Signed for My documents to Show they **had been received by Me on June 14th!!!** I told You that is FRAUD and absolutely NOT True - that's the **nature of My complaint** with Canada Post. The **earliest** I considered downloading a copy of the receipt to Show that the City of Ottawa had Signed for the package BEFORE it was even processed, was June 10th (Exhibit 'B') - the Signature of acceptance has been available since **May 23rd according to Your own records!!!** (Exhibit 'A') was what I was looking at BEFORE I even went to the depot at Rexall to pick up the package! That is FRAUD **on its face**, which is an INDICTABLE, FEDERAL OFFENCE IN CANADA (and subject to a **minimum** two year jail term if the fraud pertains to a value greater than \$5000., or a **testamentary instrument!!!**)
2. My Trust Instrument is on file with Canada's Minister of Justice and Attorney General, and the Law presumes that Notice to Principal is Notice to Agency. Canada Post is a 'Crown' corporation and does not have the privilege or luxury of pleading ignorance to a Trust Instrument on file with the Ministry of the Attorney General's Correspondence Unit, or to the applicable provisions afforded it (requirements for 'government issued' id) to by the Trustee Act of Ontario which applies to all Trusts whenever expressed, and all Trustees whenever appointed. Your decision is also a trespass upon the constitutional rights of Canada's People and violates international law under the United Nations Covenant on Civil and Political Rights respecting the right of Self determination in a Common Law society.
3. I'm not even going to dignify Your accusations against Me regarding 'inappropriate conduct' because the only thing We know for sure, is that Your allegations are based entirely on hearsay statements presented by individuals conspiring to engage in Canada Post mail fraud with *malicious intent* to interfere with service of documents, while simultaneously *producing fraudulent receipts* 'proving' the documents had been delivered to absolve their Self of liability.
4. Canada Post REFUSED to tell Me who the sender of the package was. In My complaint to You, I advised that I **suspected** the package was sent by Home for Good (City of Ottawa) **because they have been fraudulently claiming to have delivered packages to Me with malicious intent to cut off subsidy without Notice to Me three years in a row!!! This time, they conspired with agents of Canada Post to produce receipts of delivery by Signing for the package and having Canada Post's receipt show that it was in fact served on Me with a Signature on file. I also advised You that I was very concerned about this because the City of Ottawa is the only organization I can think of that MIGHT have sufficient influence to manipulate public Records at Canada Post.**
5. At no point in Your 'determination' or 'decision' do You indicate WHY the City of Ottawa is Signing to Show packages or documents have been received by Me. You have not 'corrected' the public Record to Show the

package was returned to the City of Ottawa and that the Signature of acceptance on file is a FRAUD!!! (Exhibit A). That is VERY SERIOUS MAIL FRAUD!!! How and WHY exactly is there a signature on file on May 23rd? You can't ARGUE with Your own FACTS. If You intend to do so, I have no option but to presume You are complicit in the fraud and conspiring with the City of Ottawa.

6. There is no name on the Waybill, so even presuming that Canada Post or its Ombudsman society did do everything REASONABLE to investigate this complaint, it would be UNREASONABLE to expect any One to present any kind of id - what are they supposed to be providing identification FOR? I could be for a company for all I know. Are You expecting People to be psychic?
7. You also fail to address the fact that You are not providing the service People are expecting from REGISTERED MAIL. REGISTERED MAIL is to be **DELIVERED TO MY HAND!!!** There was NO ATTEMPT by Canada Post to deliver this package to My door, which is what a customer who pays for Registered mail would SHOULD expect - You are guilty of breach of contract. You don't just get to drop a slip in My mail box without attempting to deliver the package to My door FIRST!!! If You had done that, NONE OF THE OTHER ISSUES WOULD HAVE TAKEN PLACE, REGARDLESS WHAT TRANSPIRED SINCE. EVERY OUNCE OF THIS HASSLE AND THE SUBSEQUENT EVICTION NOTICE I WAS SUBSEQUENTLY SERVED WITH WAS A DIRECT RESULT OF **GROSS CRIMINAL MALFEASANCE ON THE PART OF CANADA POST AGENTS AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA.**
8. I am in FACT, a sworn representative of both God and the Crown in My Sui Juris capacity. My job **specifically is to expose corrupt representatives of Crown whenever and wherever I find them.** Whomever is responsible for providing this decision Will report the criminal Acts of the agents involved because neither the City of Ottawa nor Canada Post can plead ignorance of Trust Instruments on file with Canada's MOJAG. As much as You may Wish for ignorance to be an excuse for the Law, it is not.

Canada Post's Ombudsman for the province of Ontario is hereby on Notice of Civil and Criminal Liability in the amount of \$1,000,000.00 for colluding and conspiring with the City of Ottawa, and for failing to report federal crimes when they are reported to You as agents to principal. Canada Post is in fact owned by Royal Mail, a Crown corporation and was only Given 'usury' privileges of the name 'Canada Post' for the purpose of serving the Crown.

You know that harm was done by the negligence of Your agency, and You know that it was the City of Ottawa that provided the Signature You have on file, claiming it was delivered to Me. Once again, Your own receipt shows the Signature was OBTAINED on May 23, and My receipt shows it was available four days before You are claiming the City of Ottawa 'had to sign' to show it had been returned to them. That is an outright lie and false statement made in Your determination, otherwise known as FRAUD.

You have until 5:00 P.M. tomorrow to press charges against Kelly Kritsch and the agents of Canada Post who conspired with her *knowing* that I have not received the documents You are claiming I received. You haven't even apologized or offered to correct the public record, You defame My character based on hearsay testimony provided by criminals You are investigating, and You expect Me to consider this a 'CORRECT AND REASONABLE' decision?

No, criminal trespasses were perpetrated against Me, and no One has been held to account. That is not justice, that is 'just is' the corruption of the Crown agents for Canada Post Mail fraud.

This unacceptable determination Will be published for the Crown to read on the international Court of Record at www.vondehnvisuals.com.

I require the name of the agent who conducted the investigation, please. Any representative of Crown in any capacity who REFUSES to provide their name for the purpose of litigation is engaged in fraud by Way of omission of evidence necessary for litigation, and breach of public Trust (as is supporting and endorsing federal mail fraud).

Blessings, I hope and Trust this email finds You well,

King Sean, House von Dehn,
Hand of Stephen,
The Kingdom of Heaven Found a Sean
[Quoted text hidden]

3 attachments



Canada Post Ombudsman Evidence FRAUD Exhibit C.jpg
646K

 **Canada Post Ombudsman Evidence FRAUD Exhibit A.pdf**
309K

 **Canada Post Ombudsman Evidence FRAUD Exhibit B.pdf**
117K